

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 040679

67

ORIGIN ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-06 IO-10 ISO-00 ERDA-05 AF-06 ARA-06

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 INR-07 L-02 NASA-01 NEA-09

NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 OES-03 SS-15

USIA-06 SAJ-01 FEA-01 /116 R

DRAFTED BY ACDA/NWT:JSHEA:KG
APPROVED BY ACDA/NWT:TDAVIES
NSC:DELLIOTT
PM:CFLOWERREE
CIA:JINGLEY
OES:DHOYLE
OSD:JLANDAUER
STATE/AGEA/ANP:MMICHAUD
JCS:CWILMOT
STATE/CE:DANDERSON
ERDA/STHOMPSON
C:INTERRELL
S/S - MR. LUERS

----- 012826

P R 222303Z FBB 75
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION IAEA VIENNA PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY CANBERRA PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 040679

E.O. 11652:GDS
TAGS:HARM, IAEA,TECH, UK, US
SUBJECT: UK PROPOSAL FOR PNE COMMITTEE

REFS: (A) IAEA VIENNA 1297; (B) IAEA VIENNA 1358
..... (C) IAEA VIENNA 1453; (D) IAEA VIENNA 1439
..... (E) BONN 2753 (NOTAL)
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 040679

1. APPRECIATE MISSION'S EFFORTS IN WORKING TO ACHIEVE A NUMBER OF IMPROVEMENTS IN UK PNE RESOLUTION DESIRED BY U.S. RESOLUTION IS NOW BASICALLY ACCEPTABLE TO US., WITH ONLY REMAINING PROBLEM THE WORDING OF OPERATIVE PARA 1C.

2. RECOGNIZING THAT PRESENT FORMULATION IS PRODUCT OF INTENSIVE NEGOTIATIONS WITH CERTAIN COUNTRIES HAVING VARYING VIEWS OF UK RESOLUTION, WE NONETHELESS SEE MERIT IN MAKING US VIEWS ON PNE SERVICE AGREEMENTS QUESTION MADE KNOWN TO WIDER AUDIENCE AT BOARD OF GOVERNOR'S MEETING AND MAKING ONE LAST TRY TO MODIFY RESOLUTION. ACCORDINGLY, MISSION SHOULD TAKE POSTURE AT BOARD MEETING THAT US SUPPORTS UK RESOLUTION IN PRINCIPLE AND ALSO SUPPORTS UK EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE CONSENSUS IN FAVOR OF RESOLUTION AT BOARD MEETING. HOWEVER, IF BRITISH REPRESENTATIVES IN VIENNA DO NOT OBJECT, MISSION SHOULD PROPOSE AT BOARD MEETING THAT OPERATIVE PARA 1(C) BE REPHRASED TO AVOID INFERENCES THAT COMMITTEE WOULD BE INVOLVED IN EARLY DRAFTING OF PNE SERVICE AGREEMENTS UNDER NPT ARTICLE V OR

THAT SUCH AGREEMENTS ARE CERTAIN TO BE NEEDED. US VIEW IS THAT IT IS PREMATURE TO WORK ON DRAFTING OF SUCH AGREEMENTS SINCE NEITHER PNE TECHNOLOGY NOR REQUESTS FOR PNE SERVICES HAVE REACHED THE POINT WHERE SERVICE AGREEMENTS ARE CALLED FOR, AND DETAILED ELABORATION OF SUCH AGREEMENTS COULD PREMATURELY STIMULATE REQUESTS FOR PNE SERVICES. ACCORDINGLY, THE US PROPOSES REVISIONS ALONG THE FOLLOWING LINES TO OP PARA 1(C):

.... "TO ADVISE IT, WITHIN THE AGENCY'S SPHERE OF
.... COMPETENCE, ON THE KEY ELEMENTS OF ANY AGREEMENTS
.... PURSUANT TO ARTICLE V OF THE NPT."

OR "TO ADVISE IT, WITHIN THE AGENCY'S SPHERE OF COMPETENCE, ON THE FACTORS INVOLVED IN AGREEMENTS ENVISAGED UNDER ARTICLE V OF THE NPT."

3. AS FALLBACK POSITION INVOLVING MINIMAL WORDING CHANGES
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 040679

IN 1(C) YOU MAY PROPOSE "TO ADVISE IT, WITHIN THE AGENCY'S SPHERE OF COMPETENCE, ON THE STRUCTURE AND CONTENT OF ANY AGREEMENTS UNDER ARTICLE V OF THE NPT." IF EFFORTS TO CHANGE 1(C) DOES NOT SUCCEED, MISSION MAY, AS RECOMMENDED IN REF (C), JOIN CONSENSUS APPROVING RESOLUTION AS WORDED. IF UK AND OTHERS REACH CONSENSUS FAVORING DEFERRAL, MISSION

MAY SUPPORT DEFERRAL.

4. MISSION, IN ADDITION TO DISCUSSING PARAS 2 AND 3 WITH BRITISH, SHOULD NOTIFY THEM OF OUR APPROACHES TO BONN AND CANBERRA IN PARAS 5 AND 6.

5. FOR BONN. REQUEST EMBASSY INFORM FOREIGN OFFICE ON URGENT BASIS THAT, ASSUMING BRITISH DO NOT OBJECT, US PLANS TO PROPOSE CHANGES IN RESOLUTION AS OUTLINED IN PARA 2 ABOVE. EMBASSY SHOULD SEEK FRG SUPPORT FOR US REPHRASING OF OPERATIVE 1(C), NOTING THAT US BELIEVES OUR REWORDING OF 1(C) IS THE PROPER APPROACH TO BE TAKEN AT THIS TIME TO THE PNE SERVICE AGREEMENTS ENVISAGED UNDER NPT ARTICLE V. IN OUR VIEW ANY MORE RAPID MOVEMENT ON THE QUESTION OF CONCLUDING SPECIAL PNE AGREEMENT OR AGREEMENTS WOULD BE UNNECESSARY, GIVEN QUESTIONS REMAINING TO BE RESOLVED ABOUT THE PRACTICAL UTILITY OF PNES AND THE LACK OF PNE SERVICE REQUESTS. IN ADDITION, OUR PROPOSED CHANGES TO 1(C) WOULD NOT IN ANY WAY PREJUDICE ANY COMMERCIAL INTERESTS OF NNWS IN PARTICIPATING IN PROVISION OF NON-NUCLEAR SERVICES ASSOCIATED WITH PNE PROJECTS. FYI. DURING VISIT OF ROTH TO WASHINGTON

FEBRUARY 24-27, WE PLAN TO DISCUSS THESE MATTERS MORE FULLY. END FYI.

6. FOR CANBERRA. REQUEST URGENT APPROACH TO AUSTRALIANS ALONG SIMILAR LINES TO PARA 5 SEEKING SUPPORT FOR OUR REVISIONS TO PARA 1(C). KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: COMMITTEE MEETINGS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, PEACEFUL NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS, RESOLUTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 FEB 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ellisoob
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE040679
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JSHEA:KG
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750064-0106
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750284/aaaagqhe.tel
Line Count: 148
Locator: TEXT ON MICROFILM, TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN ACDA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 IAEA VIENNA 1297, 75 IAEA VIENNA 1358, 75 IAEA VIENNA 1453; 75 IAEA VIENNA 1439; 75 BONN 2753
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ellisoob
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 31 MAR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <31 MAR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <16 SEP 2003 by ellisoob>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> srp 971008; srp 971008
Subject: UK PROPOSAL FOR PNE COMMITTEE
TAGS: PARM, TECH, UK, US, IAEA
To: BONN
CANBERRA
IAEA VIENNA INFO LONDON
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006